

University of Konstanz · 78457 Konstanz, GERMANY

Quality Assurance

Christine Pickert
Course Evaluation

Universitaetsstrasse 10 78464 Konstanz +49 7531 88-4072

lve@uni-konstanz.de www.uni-konstanz.de/qualitaetsmanagement

Prof. Dr. Marcel Fischer

(personal)

Report Course Evaluation

Please find attached the feedback the students gave on your course. To facilitate the interpretation of the results, we have compiled some information for you:

Your report contains an indicator, so you can easily compare your course to others from your department and also use it as a basis for the discussion with your students. You will also find an overview of the averages of the indicator over the past four semesters in all departments (see p. 2).

The indicator *LLI (Lehr-Lem-Index)* is calculated from the arithmetic mean of the questions 2-7 in the area "Teaching & Learning" in the questionnaire. As another indicator you can also find the question of the overall satisfaction (asked in every course).

The Educational Development Team of the Academic Staff Development supports all lecturers in further developing their teaching competence. You can choose your topics from a broad spectrum of service offers in different formats, such as individual advising, (teaching) coaching, short presentations and workshops. In a personal meeting formats and topics can be compiled that meet your individual requirements. You can find more information on page 3 of this letter.

According to the evaluation regulations you shall discuss the results of the evaluation with your students. This is why the course evaluation takes place in the middle of the semester. Of course you can use the different presentation formats of the report.

By statute, the deans of studies and the members of the Committee on Teaching can inspect the evaluation results in a password-protected area of the QM homepage. This does not apply to additionally/voluntarily evaluated courses.

I hope you will gain valuable information and will gladly answer any of your questions.

Yours faithfully

Christine Pickert

Course Evaluation

Dear lecturers,

in order to give you feedback about the students' view on your course at a glace, Quality Management developed the questions in the area "Teaching and Learning" in cooperation with the Centre for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education. They contain important factors that promote sustainable learning.

Here you can find short explanations of the questions as well as a selection of the offers of the Centre of Teaching and Learning:

The Center for Teaching and Learning as part of the

Academic Staff Development supports all lecturers in their pursuit of teaching excellence. Our Workshop-Programme covers a great variety of topics; the individual coaching format allows you to work very specifically on your objectives.

Individual Topics:

- Your course evaluations as a basis for individual development
- Refining your teaching formats
- How to manage difficult situations in teaching

Workshop-Programme:

- Teaching your first course in English
- Planning your courses effectively
- Moderation in teaching contexts
 - New Media in teaching

The popular series of lunch-break presentations "Hochschuldidaktik über Mittag" continually offers you new inspiration for your teaching practice.

Our services can be tailored to meet the specific requirements of your course, faculty or individual career plans.

Don't hesitate to contact us!

Hochschuldidaktik - information and contact:

hochschuldidaktik@uni-konstanz.de uni.kn/asd/hochschuldidaktik

ITEMS:

The learning objectives of this course are clearly communicated.

With the help of learning objectives the students understand what they shall do with the content. The clear communication of learning objectives creates transparency. The students know why they shall learn something and what they might need it for in other situations in life.

I understand the way the course is structured (content-wise).

A logical order is crucial to make the content comprehensible while studying. This is true of the entire semester as well as single classes. A recurring theme supports the chance of learning success and thus the motivation.

Examples from real life or research help me to better understand the subject matter.

The practical relevance of the subject matter is demonstrated as this is important to enhance the learning motivation. Specific examples as well as novel, unexpected and special information capture the attention of the students and thus improve their learning success.

On request the teacher gives me valuable feedback and information.

Feedback in the courses and/or office hours helps the students to assess their learning progress and success. An important task of the teachers is to enable and guide their students to study autonomously.



Average Index LLI (Wintersemester 2015/2016 until Summersemester 2017)

Department	Lecture				Pro-/Seminar			Tutorial				
	μ (LLI)	s	n	Number of surveys	μ (LLI)	s	n	Number of surveys	μ (LLI)	s	n	Number of surveys
Biology ⁽¹⁾	1,96	0,89	4.236	111	1,3	0,56	88	9	1,78	0,87	1.024	49
Chemistry ⁽¹⁾	1,96	0,92	3.084	83	1,88	0,91	540	22	2,21	0,98	141	3
Computer Science/Information Engineering ⁽¹⁾	1,88	0,92	2.018	91	1,42	0,69	258	28	2,03	0,99	874	53
Economics ⁽¹⁾	2,08	0,96	6.088	159	1,61	0,77	1.206	102	2,16	0,96	5.898	252
History/Educational Science/Sociology/ Sport Science ^{(1),(2)}	2,07	1,01	2.975	70	1,6	0,79	4.445	336	1,73	0,86	1.525	83
Law ^{(1),(2)}	1,93	0,94	7.183	184	1,47	0,68	297	24	1,89	0,89	5.187	290
Linguistics	1,81	0,79	250	4	1,85	1	2.039	125	2,10	0,92	81	4
Literature ^{(1),(2)}	1,98	0,95	747	23	1,58	0,76	3.453	201	1,67	0,81	256	21
Mathematics ⁽³⁾	2,01	0,97	1.500	74	1,65	0,71	23	3	-	-	-	-
Philosophy ⁽⁴⁾	1,87	0,97	282	9	1,72	0,83	1.057	80	-	-	-	-
Physics ⁽⁵⁾	2,04	0,97	1.786	66	1,68	0,71	68	7	2,04	0,92	85	3
Politics and Public Administration ⁽²⁾	2,23	1,06	3.382	33	1,64	0,79	3.919	292	1,77	0,83	3.633	208
Psychology	1,98	0,96	2.977	37	1,62	0,84	4.312	224	1,78	0,88	303	20
Ø over all departments ⁽⁶⁾	1,98		36.508	944	1,62		21.705	1.453	1,92		19.007	986

The items, that are mentioned in the index LLI are the following:

Complex issues are explained in a way which is easy to understand.

We do not publish a result if there are only two courses or less.

- (1) Seminar/other
- (2) Tutorial/AG/Colloquium
- (3) without student tutorials
- (4) Lecture/Kernkurs
- (5) Seminar/Laboratory
- (6) arithmetic mean over all departments

The structure of the course is clear.

I feel I can ask questions and make comments at any time.

The use of practical examples and eamples from research help me to understand the material better.


I get useful feedback and advice from the lecturer when I asl.


I can summarize the important terms and concepts that are taught in this course.


Prof. Dr. Marcel Fischer

Portfolio Choice (ECO-13240) no. of responses = 6









15) How many hours per week do you normally spend w	orking for this cou	rse (not including pre	esentations and	d term papers)?	
	0			20%	n=5 av.=3
	1			0%	dev.=1,73
	2			0%	
	3			20%	
	4+			60%	
16) For each ECTS point you are expected to do 25-30 outside class.) How does the amount of work you do corcourse?	hours of work. (For mpare with the amo	a 3 ECTS course the count of work expecte	is amounts to d for the ECTS	about 4 hours a 5 points awarde	d for this
	far too little			0%	n=5 av.=2,8 dev.=0,45
	too little			20%	ucv0,43
	just right			80%	
	too much			0%	
	far too much			0%	
47) The decree of the control of the					
17) The demands of this course are:	_				n=5
	far too low			0%	av.=3 dev.=0
	too low			0%	
	just right [] 100%	
	too high			0%	
	far too high			0%	
18) The amount of time required for this course is:					n=6
	far too little			0%	av.=3,17 dev.=0,41
	too little			0%	
	just right [83.3%	
	too high			16.7%	
	far too high			0%	
19) The level of subject knowledge that you are expecte	d to have when yo	u start this course is:			
,	far too low			0%	n=6 av.=2,67
	too low			33.3%	dev.=0,52
	just right			66.7%	
	too high			0%	
	far too high			0%	
20) In general I am very satisfied with the course.	strongly agree	2 2 0 0%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	strongly disagree	n=4 av.=1,5 md=1,5 dev.=0,58
21) What I find good about the course is					

Page 4

Profile

Subunit:

Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaften

Name of the instructor:

Prof. Dr. Marcel Fischer


Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Portfolio Choice

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Teaching & Learning

- 1) The learning objectives of this course are clear.
- 2) Complex issues are explained in a way which is easy to understand.
- 3) The structure of the course is clear.
- 4) I feel I can ask questions and make comments at any time.
- 5) The use of practical examples and examples from research help me to understand the material better
- 6) I get useful feedback and advice from the lecturer when I ask.
- 7) I can summarize the important terms and concepts that are taught in this course.
- 8) The opportunity to discuss the topics helps me to understand them better.
- 9) The lecturer's input to the discussions is helpful.
- 10) The assessment criteria are clear.



Other Factors

- 11) The room for this course is the right size and suitably equipped.
- 12) I was already very interested in the topic BEFORE I started the course.
- 13) In this course I can make extensive use of what I have learned in other courses.
- 14) The number of students in the course is acceptable.

strongly agree	<u> </u>	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,67	md=2,00	dev.=0,52
strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,33	md=1,00	dev.=0,52
strongly agree	\rightarrow	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,83	md=1,50	dev.=0,98
strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,17	md=1,00	dev.=0,41

Workload

20) In general I am very satisfied with the course.

