

ITE-VET
2016–2018



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Evaluation_1st_STS_Kyiv

The following content is a result of the
ITE-VET project which is part of the
Erasmus+ Programme of the European
Union.

This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.




Universität Konstanz

Christine Pickert
Stabsstelle Qualitätsmanagement
Universitätsstraße 10
78464 Konstanz
Tel +49 7531 88-4072
Fax +49 7531 88-3750
christine.pickert@uni-konstanz.de
www.uni-konstanz.de

Universität Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz


Dear Mr. Prof. Dr. phil. Thomas Deißinger (as private and confidential)

Report Course Evaluation



Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses
av=Mean
md=Median
dev=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Didactics


1.1) The learning objectives of the seminar are defined clearly.



1.2) The topics fit the learning objectives.




1.3) The topics are well-conceived.




2. Teaching methods


2.1) The material used helps me to understand the content.




2.2) The pace of the seminar is adequate.




2.3) The lecturers use appropriate teaching methods that make learning easier for me.




2.4) The presentations are well-structured and comprehensible.



2.5) There is enough time for discussion during the seminar.



2.6) The seminar is lively and interesting for me.



2.7) The readings were appropriate and comprehensible.	++		--	n=24 av.=1,42 md=1 dev.=0,58
2.8) The cases were illustrative of the concepts handled.	++		--	n=23 av.=1,26 md=1 dev.=0,54
2.9) The activities had enough time and were appropriate.	++		--	n=22 av.=1,55 md=1 dev.=0,67
2.10) I was given sufficient opportunity to ask questions.	++		--	n=24 av.=1,38 md=1 dev.=0,49
2.11) The translation was appropriate and facilitated the sessions.	++		--	n=24 av.=1,13 md=1 dev.=0,34


3. Mastering the teaching content

3.1) I can apply what I have learned in this seminar in the future.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=22 av.=1,36 md=1 dev.=0,49
3.2) I have gained an in-depth understanding of the topic/content.	++		--	n=22 av.=1,59 md=2 dev.=0,5
3.3) I can reproduce important terms or facts mentioned in this seminar.	++		--	n=22 av.=1,36 md=1 dev.=0,49
3.4) I think this seminar has improved my skills significantly.	++		--	n=22 av.=1,36 md=1 dev.=0,58

4. Presentations


4.1) The quality of the presentations in terms of content is high.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=24 av.=1,42 md=1 dev.=0,5
4.2) The lecturers give helpful feedback.	++		--	n=24 av.=1,13 md=1 dev.=0,34
4.3) The delivery and presentation of the contents is appealing/good.	++		--	n=24 av.=1,33 md=1 dev.=0,48

4.4) I have the feeling that I learned and benefited from the presentations.




5. Assessment of the lecturers


5.1) The lecturers are cooperative and open-minded.



5.2) The lecturers can present the teaching content comprehensibly.




5.3) I feel well-supervised by the lecturers.



6. General feedback

6.1) I am satisfied with the organisation and the content of the seminar.




Profile


Subunit: Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaften
 Name of the instructor: Prof. Dr. phil. Thomas Deißinger
 Name of the course: E+ Project "Improving teacher education for applied learning in the field of VET"
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


1. Didactics







2. Teaching methods






3. Mastering the teaching content



4. Presentations

4.1) The quality of the presentations in terms of content is high.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=24	av.=1,42 md=1,00 dev.=0,50
4.2) The lecturers give helpful feedback.	++		--	n=24	av.=1,13 md=1,00 dev.=0,34
4.3) The delivery and presentation of the contents is appealing/good.	++		--	n=24	av.=1,33 md=1,00 dev.=0,48
4.4) I have the feeling that I learned and benefited from the presentations.	++		--	n=24	av.=1,38 md=1,00 dev.=0,49

5. Assessment of the lecturers

5.1) The lecturers are cooperative and open-minded.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=25	av.=1,12 md=1,00 dev.=0,33
5.2) The lecturers can present the teaching content comprehensibly.	++		--	n=25	av.=1,12 md=1,00 dev.=0,33
5.3) I feel well-supervised by the lecturers.	++		--	n=25	av.=1,24 md=1,00 dev.=0,44

6. General feedback

6.1) I am satisfied with the organisation and the content of the seminar.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=25	av.=1,16 md=1,00 dev.=0,37
---	------------------	---	---------------------	------	----------------------------