

Universität Konstanz

Christine Pickert
Stabsstelle Qualitätsmanagement
Universitätsstraße 10
78464 Konstanz
Tel +49 7531 88-4072
Fax +49 7531 88-3750
christine pickert@uni-konstanz.de
www.uni-konstanz.de

Universität Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz

Dear Mr. Prof. Dr. phil. Thomas Deißinger (as private and confidential)

Report Course Evaluation


Prof. Dr. phil. Thomas Deißinger

E+ Project "Improving teacher education for applied learning in the field of VET" () no. of responses = 25



Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

1.	Didactics			100		ar Angeles Ang			
1)	The learning objectives of the seminar are defined clearly.	completely agree		13 11 54,2% 45,8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	completely disagree	n=24 av.=1,46 md=1 dev.=0,5
2)	The topics fit the learning objectives.	++	A	19 5 79,2% 20,8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		n=24 av.=1,21 md=1 dev.=0,4
···	The topics are well-conceived.	++	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	17 6 70,8% 25%	0 0%	1 4,2%	0 0%		n=24 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0,
2.	Teaching methods								
1)	The material used helps me to understand the content.	completely agree		21 2 87,5% 8,3%	1 4,2%	0 0%	0 0%	completely disagree	n=24 av.=1,1 md=1 dev.=0,
2)	The pace of the seminar is adequate.	++	PRITTY DOM	21 3 87,5% 12,5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		n=24 av.=1,1 md=1 dev.=0,
3)	The lecturers use appropriate teaching methods that make learning easier for me.	++		18 6 75% 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		n=24 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0
4)	The presentations are well-structured and comprehensible.	++		15 9 62,5% 37,5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		n=24 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0
.5)	There is enough time for discussion during the seminar.	++		10 9 45,5% 40,9%	3 13,6%	0 0%	0 0%		n=22 av.=1,6 md=2 dev.=0
.6)	The seminar is lively and interesting for me.	++		18 5 75% 20,8%	1,2%	0 0%	0 0%		n=24 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0

2.7)	The readings were appropriate and comprehensible.	++	15 8 1 0 62,5% 33,3% 4,2% 00 1 2 3 4	<u>% 0%</u>	n=24 av.=1,42 md=1 dev.=0,58
2.8)	The cases were illustrative of the concepts handled.	++	18 4 1 0 78,3% 17,4% 4,3% 0%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	n=23 av.=1,26 md=1 dev.=0,54
2.9)	The activities had enough time and were appropriate.	++	12 8 2 0 54,5% 36,4% 9,1% 09		n=22 av.=1,55 md=1 dev.=0,67
2.10)	I was given sufficient opportunity to ask questions.	++	15 9 0 0 62,5% 37,5% 0% 09		n=24 av.=1,38 md=1 dev.=0,49
2.11)	The translation was appropriate and facilitated the sessions.	++	21 3 0 0 87,5% 12,5% 0% 0%		n=24 av.=1,13 md=1 dev.=0,34
3.	Mastering the teaching content				
***************************************	I can apply what I have learned in this seminar in the future.	completely agree	14 8 0 0 63,6% 36,4% 0% 09	completely disagree	n=22 av.=1,36 md=1 dev.=0,49
3.2)	I have gained an in-depth understanding of the topic/ content.	++	9 13 0 0 40,9% 59,1% 0% 09 1 2 3 4	% 0%	n=22 av.=1,59 md=2 dev.=0,5
3.3)	can reproduce important terms or facts mentioned in this seminar.	++	14 8 0 0 63,6% 36,4% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4	<u>6 0%</u> 	n=22 av.=1,36 md=1 dev.=0,49
3.4)	think this seminar has improved my skills significantly .	++	15 6 1 0 68,2% 27,3% 4,5% 09 1 2 3 4	0 0 0	n=22 av.=1,36 md=1 dev.=0,58
4.	Presentations				4.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
4.1)	The quality of the presentations in terms of content s high.	completely agree	14 10 0 0 58,3% 41,7% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4	6 0% completely disagree	n=24 av.=1,42 md=1 dev.=0,5
4.2)	The lecturers give helpful feedback.	++	21 3 0 0 87,5% 12,5% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4	6 0%	n=24 av.=1,13 md=1 dev.=0,34
4.3)	The delivery and presentation of the contents is appealing/good.	++	16 8 0 0 66,7% 33,3% 0% 0%		n=24 av.=1,33 md=1 dev.=0,48






n=24 av.=1,38 md=1 dev.=0,49


5. Assessment of the lecturers

5.1)	The lecturers	are cooperative and	d open-minded.
------	---------------	---------------------	----------------



completely disagree in=25 av.=1,12 md=1 dev.=0,33

The lecturers can present the teaching content comprehensibly.



n=25 av.=1,12 md=1 dev.=0,33


^{5,3)} I feel well-supervised by the lecturers.



n=25 av.=1,24 md=1 dev.=0,44

6. General feedback

6.1) I am satisfied with the organisation and the content of the seminar.



completely disagree

n=25 av.=1,16 md=1 dev.=0,37

Profile

Subunit:

Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaften

Name of the instructor:

Prof. Dr. phil. Thomas Deißinger


Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

E+ Project "Improving teacher education for applied learning in the field of VET"

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Didactics

- 1.1) The learning objectives of the seminar are defined clearly.
- 1.2) The topics fit the learning objectives.
- 1.3) The topics are well-conceived.




n=24 av.=1,46 md=1,00 dev.=0,51

n=24 av.=1,21 md=1,00 dev.=0,41

n=24 av.=1,38 md=1,00 dev.=0,71

2. Teaching methods


- 2.1) The material used helps me to understand the content.
- ^{2.2)} The pace of the seminar is adequate.
- 2.3) The lecturers use appropriate teaching methods that make learning easier for me.
- 2.4) The presentations are well-structured and comprehensible.
- 2.5) There is enough time for discussion during the seminar.
- ^{2.6)} The seminar is lively and interesting for me.
- 2.7) The readings were appropriate and comprehensible.
- 2.8) The cases were illustrative of the concepts handled.
- ^{2.9)} The activities had enough time and were appropriate.
- ^{2.10)} I was given sufficient opportunity to ask questions.
- 2.11) The translation was appropriate and facilitated the sessions.



n=24	av.=1,17 md=1,00 dev.=0,48
n=24	av.=1,13 md=1,00 dev.=0,34
n=24	av.=1,25 md=1,00 dev.=0,44
n=24	av.=1,38 md=1,00 dev.=0,49
n=22	av.=1,68 md=2,00 dev.=0,72
n=24	av.=1,29 md=1,00 dev.=0,55
n=24	av.=1,42 md=1,00 dev.=0,58
n=23	av.=1,26 md=1,00 dev.=0,54
n=22	av.=1,55 md=1,00 dev.=0,67
n=24	av.=1,38 md=1,00 dev.=0,49
n=24	av.=1,13 md=1,00 dev.=0,34

3. Mastering the teaching content


- 3.1) I can apply what I have learned in this seminar in the future.
- I have gained an in-depth understanding of the topic/content.
- 3.3) I can reproduce important terms or facts mentioned in this seminar.
- 3.4) I think this seminar has improved my skills significantly.



n=22 av.=1,36 md=1,00 dev.=0,49 n=22 av.=1,59 md=2,00 dev.=0,50 n=22 av.=1,36 md=1,00 dev.=0,49 n=22 av.=1,36 md=1,00 dev.=0,68

4. Presentations

- 4.1) The quality of the presentations in terms of content is high.
- 4.2) The lecturers give helpful feedback.
- 4.3) The delivery and presentation of the contents is appealing/good.
- 4.4) I have the feeling that I learned and benefited from the presentations.



n=24 av.=1,42 md=1,00 dev.=0,50

av.=1,13 md=1,00 dev.=0,34


n=24 av.=1,33 md=1,00 dev.=0,48

n=24

n=24 av.=1,38 md=1,00 dev.=0,49

5. Assessment of the lecturers

- 5.1) The lecturers are cooperative and openminded.
- 5.2) The lecturers can present the teaching content comprehensibly.
- ^{5.3)} I feel well-supervised by the lecturers.



n=25 av.=1,12 md=1,00 dev.=0,33

n=25 av.=1,12 md=1,00 dev.=0,33

n=25 av.=1,24 md=1,00 dev.=0,44

6. General feedback

6.1) I am satisfied with the organisation and the content of the seminar.



n=25 av.=1,16 md=1,00 dev.=0,37